IR comparison; mass spectrum (70 eV) , M⁺ 368, 370.

Deoxygenation **of** Nagilactone **C** (3) with **Cr(I1)** Reagent. A solution of ethylenediamine (2 mL, 33.3 mmol) in purified DMF (170 mL) was carefully degassed and saturated with pure nitrogen. To this solution was added successively a $Cr(C1O₄)$ ₂ solution (10) mL, 14.2 mmol), prepared from 1.84 g of Cr metal and 25 mL of 20% HClO₄ at 70–80 °C under N₂, and then a DMF (10 mL) solution of nagilactone C (3, 1.00 g, 2.8 mmol) at 30 "C. After stirring for 4 h at 30 "C, the mixture **was** diluted with 300 mL of H₂O, acidified (pH \sim 2.0) with 6 N HCl, and extracted with CHCl₃. The CHCl₃ solution was worked up as usual to give 492 mg (52%) of the 1:2-deoxygenated product 33 as colorless crystals: mp 287-289 °C; UV (EtOH) 300 nm; IR (Nujol) 3500, 3300, 1750, 1695, 1630, 1550 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (py-d₅) δ 1.21 (3 H, d, J = 6.5 $(3 H, s, CH₃), 2.17 (1 H, d, J = 6.0 Hz, H-5), 3.48 (1 H, m, J =$ Hz, CH₃), 1.29 (3 H, d, $J = 6.5$ Hz, CH₃), 1.41 (3 H, s, CH₃), 1.98 6.5 Hz, H-15), 4.53 (1 H, d, $J = 6.0$ Hz, H-3), 5.02 (1 H, dd, $J =$ 6.0, 8.5 Hz, H-6), 5.63 (1 H, d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, H-7), 6.17 (1 H, dd, *J* = 6.0, 9.5 Hz, H-2), 6.58 (1 H, *8,* H-11), 6.89 (1 H, d, *J* = 9.5 Hz, H-1); 13C NMR (py-d5) 6 20.2 **(9,** C-l6), 20.8 **(4,** C-17), 25.9 **(9,** C-20), 27.0 **(4,** C-l8), 29.7 (d, C-15), 38.2 **(s,** C-lo), 48.4 (9, C-4), 54.8 (d, C-5), 60.5 (d, C-7), 69.3 (d, C-3), 74.0,(d, C-6), 105.5 (d, C-11), 111.7 (s, C-8), 129.6 (d, C-2), 136.9 (d, C-1), 162.2 (s, C-12), 163.1 (s, C-14), 170.7 (s, C-9), 178.8 (s, C-19).

Anal. Calcd for $C_{19}H_{22}O_6$: C, 65.88; H, 6.40. Found: C, 65.77; H, 6.44.

Acetylation of 33 by the usual method $(Ac_2O/pyridine)$ gave diacetate 33a: mp 248 °C; IR (Nujol) 1780, 1740, 1720, 1630, 1545 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.24 (3 H, d, J = 6.8 Hz, CH₃), 1.26 (3 Hz, H-5), 3.00 (1 H, m, *J* = 6.8 Hz, H-15), 4.96 (1 H, dd, *J* = 6.0, H, d, $J = 6.8$ Hz, CH₃), 1.55 (6 H, s, 2 CH₃), 2.23 (1 H, d, $J = 6.0$ 9.1 Hz, H-6), 5.88 (1 H, dd, $J = 6.0$, 9.8 Hz, H-2), 5.56 (1 H, d, $J = 6.0$ Hz, H-3), 6.22 (1 H, s, H-11), 6.36 (1 H, d, $J = 9.1$ Hz, H-7), 6.80 (1 H, d, *J* = 9.8 Hz, H-1).

Anal. Calcd for $C_{23}H_{26}O_8$: C, 64.17; H, 6.09. Found: C, 63.90; H, 5.97.

Hydrogenation **of** Allylic Alcohol 33. Catalytic hydrogenation of 33 was slow and gave a rather complicated mixture (3-4 spots on TLC). From the reduction of 130 mg of 33 (5% Pd/C 60 mg, EtOH **20** mL, 1 drop of 60% HC104, 25 "C, 1 atm of H2, 2 h), 9 mg of a pure compound was obtained after repeated chromatography (ca. 55 mg of 33 was recovered). This product was assigned as the 2:3-unsaturated compound 35 from the following analytical data: mp 290 °C (sublime); IR (Nujol) 3440, 1760, 1695, 1635,1550 cm-'; 'H NMR (CDCl,) **6** 1.25 (3 H, d, *J* 2.00 (1 H, d, $J = 5.5$ Hz, H-5), 2.14 (2 H, br d, H-1), 3.24 (1 H, m, *J* = 6.5 Hz, H-15), 4.95 (1 H, dd, *J* = 5.5, 9.0 Hz, H-6), 5.30 (1 H, d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, H-7), 5.88 (3 H, br s, H-2, H-3, H-11). $= 6.5$ Hz, CH₃), 1.34 (3 H, d, $J = 6.5$ Hz, CH₃), 1.38 (6 H, s, 2 CH₃),

Anal. Calcd for $C_{19}H_{22}O_5$: C, 69.07; H, 6.71. Found: C, 69.08; H, 6.82.

Epoxidation **of** Podolide (12) and 16-Hydroxypodolide (36). A solution of podolide (30 mg, 0.09 mmol) in dry CHCl₃ (7 mL) containing a catalytic amount of **4,4'-thiobis[6-tert-butyl-3** methylphenol] **as** a radical inhibitor was refluxed with *60* mg (0.35 mmol) of m- $\dot{C}IC_6H_4CO_3H$ for 48 h. Evaporation of the solvent and purification of the residue by preparative TLC $(SiO₂, 4:1)$ CHCl₃/acetone) gave 23 mg (73%) of the 2α :3 α -epoxide 37 as colorless needles: mp 275 "C 4.0 Hz, UV (EtOH) 218 nm **(e** 9800); IR (KBr) 1770, 1705 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (py-d₅) δ 1.01 (3 H, d, J = 7.0 Hz, CH,), 1.11 (3 H, s, CH,), 1.13 (3 H, d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, CH,), 1.45 (3 H, s, CH₃), 1.59 (1 H, dd, $J = 1.5$, 14.0 Hz, H-1 α), 1.86 $(1 H, d, J = 5.0 \text{ Hz}, H = 5)$, 2.14 $(1 H, dd, J = 6.5, 14.0 \text{ Hz}, H = 1.6)$, *J* = 1.5 Hz, H-7), 4.53 (1 H, d, *J* = 4.0, H-14), 5.11 (1 H, dd, *J* = 1.5, 5.0 Hz, H-6), 6.07 (1 H, s, H-11); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 16.5 **(4,** C-16), 19.5 **(4,** C-20), 21.4 **(q,** C-17), 24.5 **(9,** C-la), 26.9 (d, C-15), 32.5 (t, C-I), 35.5 (s, C-lo), 41.7 (d, C-5), 43.5 (s, C-4), 52.2 (d, C-2), 53.7 (d, C-7), 54.0 (d, C-3), 57.4 (s, C-8), 72.2 (d, C-6), 82.7 (d, C-14), 118.1 (d, C-11), 156.8 *(8,* C-9), 163.2 (9, C-12), 177.1 (s, 3.37 (1 H, m, H-2), 3.52 (1 H, d, *J* = 4.0 Hz, H-3), 4.20 (1 H, d, C-19); mass spectrum (20 eV), M+ 346, 318, 303, 275, 247, 229. Anal. Calcd for $C_{19}H_{22}O_6$: C, 65.88; H, 6.40. Found: C, 65.57;

H, 6.39. 16-Hydroxypodolide (36), obtained from root bark of *Podocarpus nagi*, also gave a corresponding 2α , 3α -epoxide 38 by the same procedure as described above; mp 272 °C dec; IR (KBr) 3540, 1777, 1700 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (py-d₅) δ 1.13 (3 H, s, CH₃), 1.30 (3 H, d, $J = 7.0$ Hz, CH_3), 1.46 (3 H, s, CH₃), 1.58 (1 H, dd, $J = 1.5$, 14.0 Hz, H-1 α), 1.86 (1 H, d, $J = 5.0$ Hz, H-5), 2.12 (1 H, dd, *J* Hz, H-3), 4.00 (1 H, dd, *J* = 7.0, 10.5 Hz, H-16), 4.11 (1 H, dd, *J* = 4.0, 10.5 Hz, H-16), 4.33 (1 H, d, *J* = 1.5 Hz, H-7), 4.82 (1 **H**, d, $J = 5.0$ Hz, H-14), 5.12 (1 H, dd, $J = 1.5, 5.0$ Hz, H-6), 6.16 (1 H, S, H-11); 13C NMR (py-d,) 6 16.1 **(q,** C-17), 19.5 **(q,** C-20), 23.7 **(4,** C-18), 32.3 (t, C-1), 34.7 (d, C-15), 35.8 (s, C-lo), 41.7 (d, C-5), 43.7 (s, C-4), 52.5 (d, C-2), 54.4 (d, C-3), 54.9 (d, C-7), 57.8 (s, C-8), 62.5 (t, C-16), 72.7 (d, C-6), 82.2 (d, C-14), 118.1 (d, C-11), $=6.0, 14.0$ Hz, H-1 β), 3.38 (1 H, m, H-2), 3.52 (1 H, d, $J = 3.5$ 157.3 (s, C-9), 163.6 (s, C-12), 177.8 (s, c-19); mass spectrum (20 eV), M+ 362, 347, 332, 305.

Anal. Calcd for $C_{19}H_{22}O_7$: C, 62.97; H, 6.12. Found: C, 62.96; H, 6.19.

Registry **No.** 1, 19891-50-0; la, 19891-54-4; 2, 19891-51-1; 3, 24338-53-2; 4, 19891-53-3; 3a, 82335-10-2; 4a, 19891-65-7; 5, 36895- 12-2; dihydro-5, 39024-02-7; 5a, 39024-01-6; **6,** 36912-00-2; 7, 81348- 87-0; 8,70469-56-6; Sa, 70469-58-8 9,65688-70-2; 10, 65688-71-3; 12, 71431-95-3; 16a, 70469-57-7; 17, 82335-11-3; 18, 81362-33-6; 19, 82280-80-6; 22, 82280-81-7; 22a, 82280-82-8; 23, 82280-83-9; 1 β -AcO-23,82280-84-0; 24, 19891-61-3; 25, 33722-80-4; 27a, 82280-85-1; 27b, 82335-12-4; 28, 82280-86-2; 29, 82280-87-3; 29a, 82280-88-4; 30, 82280-89-5; 30a, 82293-65-0; 30b, 82293-66-1; 33, 65688-75-7; 35, 55786-36-2; 13, 73616-59-8; 14, 73616-58-7; 15, 82280-79-3; 16, 65688-77-9; 36, 65688-72-4; 37, 65688-73-5; 38, 65688-74-6.

Total Synthesis of Nagilactone F, a Biologically Active Norditerpenoid Dilactone Isolated from *Podocarpus nagi*

Yuji Hayashi,* Takeshi Matsumoto, Mugio Nishizawa, Masatoshi Togami, Toshiaki Hyono, Naomi Nishikawa, and Motokazu Uemura

Faculty of Science, Osaka City University, Sumiyoshiku, Osaka 558, Japan

Takeo Sakan

Suntory Institute of Bio-organic Research, Shimamotocho, Mishimagun, Osaka 618, Japan

Received December 28, 1981

The details of the first total synthesis of nagilactone **F** (l), a member of the biologically active norditerpenoid dilactones isolated from *Podocarpus* plants, are described. The synthesis is accomplished by starting from a known resin acid, (4s)-(+)-podocarpic acid **(4). Thus,** the absolute configuration of the norditerpenoid is chemically established as represented by formula **1.**

Norditerpenoid dilactones isolated from various species of the *Podocarpus* genus' have attracted interest in natural product chemistry because of their wide variety of biological activity.2 Even though more than **40** dilactone members have been found from plant sources since 1968, no synthetic works have appeared to date.3 We have chosen nagilactone **F4 (l),** a 7(8),9(11)-dienolide classified

as a type C dilactone,^{5,6} as a synthetic target of this first total synthesis, since 1 is structurally the simplest but biologically the most active member of the dilactone congeners. 2

The synthesis⁷ started from a resin acid, $(S)-(+)$ -podocarpic acid (4), the structure and the absolute configuration
of which have already been established.⁸ The major of which have already been established. 8 problem of this synthesis was the transformation of the B/C ring part of the resin acid to the dienolide system by extrusion of the C_1 unit (C-13) and the stereocontrolled introduction of an isopropyl group of the C-14 position. For the latter purpose, a photochemical cyclization of the C_{19} dienoic acid 20, derived from the C_{20} precursor 9, was the key step, in which the desired stereochemistry at C-14 was furnished selectively $(14\alpha$ -isopropyl).

In the course of this synthesis, a series of reductive transformations of nagilactone F **(1)** to the synthetic intermediates became accessible. Several steps of the degradation have led 1 to two enolide esters, **21** and **23,** and a dienolide ester, **26,** which served as relay compounds of this total synthesis. Nagilactone F **has** been obtained from the plant in **too** small quantity to use for synthetic purpose. However, its successful derivation from nagilactone E **(2,** a 7α ,8 α -epoxy-9(11)-enolide classified as a type B dilactone^{$5,6$}), the most abundant dilactone in root bark of *P*. *nagi,* solved this problem. Details of this derivation have been discussed.⁶

Results and Discussion

Birch reduction,³ followed by acid treatment of O methylpodocarpic acid (5 Scheme I) produced the $\Delta^{9,11}$ enone **6** predominantly. The yield of **6** was dependent on the solvent system. A mixture of liquid ammonia and tert-butyl alcohol in 75:15 ratio gave an optimum yield. Conversion of 6 to the desired $\Delta^{13,14}$ -enone 7 was done by

(9) T. A. Spencer, R. A. J. Smith, D. L. Storm, and R. M. Villarica, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 93, 4856 (1971).

 a Li/NH₃/t-BuOH. b H₃O⁺. c CH₂N₂. d H₂/Pd-C. e (*i*-Pr),NLi. *f* PhSeCl and then H₂O₂. g (*i*-Pr),CuLi. h O₃/Me₂S. ⁱ CrO₃/H₂SO₄/Me₂CO. ^{*j*} (CF₃CO)₂O.

a modified Spencer's procedure? A saturated ketone obtained by catalytic hydrogenation of **6** was treated with phenylselenenyl chloride in ethyl acetate and subsequently oxidized with hydrogen peroxide to give the expected A13s14-enone **71°** in a satisfadory yield. Enone **7** was reacted with 1.5 equiv of a lithium diisopropylcuprate-dimethyl sulfide complex, and the resulting enolate intermediate was directly trapped *again* by phenylselenenyl chloride, to form a **14-isopropyl-13-selenylated** ketone, which was converted to the enone **9** by the usual oxidative elimination in 75% yield. Interception of the conjugate addition product (a saturated 14-isopropyl ketone) was not satisfactory. In the latter case, subsequent introduction of the double bond by a sequence of kinetic enolate formation, selenylation, and oxidative elimination gave a 1:l mixture of **9** and the isomeric $\Delta^{9,11}$ -enone 10. Ozonolysis of 9, followed by oxidation with Jones' reagent, gave keto carboxylic acid **11** as an amorphous solid. The acid **11** was transformed to the α -pyrone type compound 13 by enol lactonization with trifluoroacetic anhydride followed by the conventional selenylation-elimination sequence. Sodium borohydride reduction of a 7-acetoxylated type A dilactone,⁶ e.g., nagilactone **A** diacetate **(3),** has been known to lead to a 7(8),9(11)-dienolide system, e.g., **14.4J1** Application of this

reaction to this synthesis was abandoned after fruitless attempts to introduce an acetoxyl or other related leaving

⁽¹⁾ Y. Hayashi, T. Mataumoto, and T. Sakan, Heterocycles, **10, 123 (1978); J.** A. Hembree, C.-jer Chang, J. L. McLaughlin, and J. M. Cassady, Experientia, **36,28 (1980);** J. M. Sasse, K. S. Rowan, and M. N. Galbraith,

Phytochemistry **20, 2195 (1981),** and references cited therein. **(2)** Y. Hayashi, T. Matsumoto, and T. Tashiro, Gann, **70,365 (1979),** and references cited therein.

⁽³⁾ Synthesis of a **13,15,16,17-tetranorditerpenoid,** an antifungal mold metabolite, is the only precedent for the synthetic work of this type of the dilactones: M. Adinolfi, L. Mangoni, G. Barone, and G. Laonigro, Tetrahedron Lett.. **695 (1972):** Gazz. *Chim.* **Ital.. 103.1271 (1973):** S. C. Welch, C. P. Hagan, D. H. White, W. P. Fleming, and J. W. Trotter, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 99, 549 (1977)

⁽⁴⁾ Y. Hayashi, **J.** Yokoi, Y. Watanabe, T. Sakan, Y. Masuda, and R.

Yamamoto, Chem. Lett., **759 (1972). (5)** Y. Hayashi and T. Sakan, Proc. 8th *Int. Conf.* Plant Growth Substances, **525 (1974).**

⁽⁶⁾ Y. Hayashi and T. Mataumoto, *J.* Org. Chem., preceding article in this issue.

⁽⁷⁾ The preliminary communication of this synthesis: Y. Hayashi, T. Matsumoto, T. Hyono, N. Nishikawa, M. Uemura, M. Nishizawa, M. Togami, and T. Sakan, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 3311 (1979).

(8) E. Wenkert and T. Tahara, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 82, 3229 (1960); M.

L. Meyer and K. K. Maheshwari, Tetrahedron Lett. **2175 (1964).**

⁽¹⁰⁾ An alternative attempt to direct the preparation of **7,** Birch reduction of the 13-carboxy derivative **8** of 0-methylpodocarpic acid followed by acid hydrolysis, gave no useful results.

⁽¹¹⁾ Y. Hayashi, **S.** Takahashi, H. Ona, and T. Sakan, Tetrahedron Lett., **2071 (1968).**

 a CH₂N₂. b B₂H₆/THF. c TsOH/C₆H₆. d (*i*-Pr)₂NLi/ PhSeCl and then H,O,. *e* t-BuOK/Me,SO. fhv/MeOH. \mathcal{L} Δ . \mathcal{L} DDQ/BF₃/dioxane, \mathcal{L} H₂SO₄ and then H₂O. \mathcal{L} H₂/ $PdC/HOAc/HClO₄$. ${}^{k}Pb(OAc)₄/h\nu$. ${}^{l}NBS$ and then $Zn/$ THF. $m H_2/PdC/n-BuOH/NaHCO_3$.

group at C-7 of the intermediate **13.**

Reduction (diborane, 0 °C) of the ketone carbonyl group of **11,** after esterification with diazomethane, gave lactone **15** and hydroxy ester **16** (Scheme 11), both of which were readily separated chromatographically. Hydroxy ester **16** was also lactonized under forcing conditions (refluxing in benzene with acid catalyst) to form the epimeric (C-14) lactone **17.** The stereochemistry at C-14 of the lactones **15** and **17** was found through the observed difference of the lactonization rate; readily formed **15** should form a more stable equatorial 14β -isopropyl group with the chair-type C ring, while 17 should form an axial 14α -isopropyl. The two saturated lactones **15** and **17** were transformed into 9(11)-unsaturated lactones **18** and **19,** respectively, by the selenylation (C-11) and subsequent oxidation process. The stereochemical relationship between H-8 and H-14 for the two unsaturated lactones were evidenced by ¹H NMR parameters, $J_{8,14} \approx 10.0$ Hz for 18 and 3.5 Hz for **19,** which also supported the above mentioned assignments of a C-14 configuration in **15** and **17.** On these $\Delta^{9,11}$ -lactones, introduction of a functional group at C-8, which could serve to furnish a 7(8) double bond, was not successful. However, treatment of the both compounds with potassium tert-butoxide in dimethyl sulfoxide gave the same diene carboxylic acid, **20,** quantitatively by an internal elimination of the carboxyl group at C-8 and C-14. The acid **20** was also formed under the same conditions from another isomeric $\Delta^{9,11}$ -lactone, 23, which was derived from nagilactone **F (1)** through reductive degradation as mentioned below. The acid **20** from the three sources was identified and characterized by spectral (including the optical rotation) and elemental analysis. At this stage, the natural norditerpenoid dilactone was first correlated chemically with (4S)-(+)-podocarpic acid **(4) (12) 0. L. Chapman and W. R. Adams,** *J. Am. Chem.* **SOC., 90,2333**

with established stereochemistry. The configuration of the $\Delta^{8,14}$ double bond of 20 must be *E*, since this acid was formed under the base equilibrium conditions. The acid does not show a distinctive absorption maximum in the UV region, but shoulders around 220 and 260 nm are seen. This fact would indicate the lack of coplanarity of the diene system because of a steric reason.

The acid **20** decomposed on being heating above its melting point without coloration. After spectral inspection, the acid was found to be changed to a mixture (5:3) of two isomeric A8,9-lactones, **21** and **22.** On the other hand, irradiation in ethanol with a medium-pressure mercury lamp at 0 °C changed acid 20 exclusively into the single $\Delta^{8,9}$. lactone **21,** which was proved to be the minor component of the above pyrolysis mixture by the 13C NMR comparison. The double bond in **21** was placed at the 8(9)-position, since **21** exhibited no appreciable UV absorption (no conjugation) and no olefinic proton signal in the 'H NMR but two tetra-substituted olefinic carbon signals at 125.6 and 133.8 ppm in the 13C NMR. The stereochemistry at C-14 was not obvious at this stage. The assignment for 21 as the desired 14α -isopropyl isomer is discussed in the following step. The exclusive formation of the desired isomer **21** in the above photoreaction could be understood by the favorable rotation of the C-8-C-14 bond during the lactonization to such direction that the large isopropyl group avoids the nonbonded interaction with the angular methyl group at C-10, in either a radical cyclization of a photochemically produced biradical at C-14 and the carbonyl oxygen (see 24) or a concerted 6π -electrocyclic reaction involving the carbonyl system (see 25).¹²

The $\Delta^{8,9}$ -enolide 21 was dehydrogenated with dichlorodicyano-p-benzoquinone in refluxing dioxane in the presence of BF_3 to the $\Delta^{7(8),9(11)}$ -dienolide 26. The isomeric $\Delta^{8,9}$ -enolide 22 gave another $\Delta^{7(8),9(11)}$ -dienolide 27, epimeric to **26** at C-14, by bromination with NBS (2.6 equiv) followed by elimination with zinc in DMF. Under the latter conditions, enolide **21** gave no useful results.

The configuration at C-14 of both of the epimeric $\Delta^{7(8),9(11)}$ -dienolides 26 and 27 could be related to those of the dienolide-type dilactones **1** and **14,** respectively. The H-14 β signal of 26 in the ¹H NMR spectrum appears in a narrow multiplet with fine splitting. The coupling parameters, $J_{14\beta,15}$ (vicinal), $J_{7,14\beta}$ (allylic), and $J_{6,14\beta}$ (homoallylic), have approximately the same magnitude $(-2.5$ Hz), which is characteristic to the natural nagilactone F (1). In contrast to this, the H-14 α of 27 shows a clear doublet signal $(J_{14\alpha,15} \approx 8.0 \text{ Hz})$ with small further splittings due to H-7/H-14 α and H-6/H-14 α interactions, also characteristic of the dienolide-type diladone **14.''** These facts can be explained by the different orientation of H-14 to the nearby protons in both of the epimeric $C-14$ configurations: approximately **90°** of the dihedral angle of the quasi-axial H-14 β to the plane of the 7(8),9(11)-diene system for **26** and **1,** which should exhibit maximal magitude of the allylic (H-7/H-14) and the homoallylic (H-6/H-14) coupling (2.5 Hz), and approximately **30'** of the

^{(1 968).}

corresponding angle of the quasi-equatorial H-14 α for 27 and 14, which should show smaller long-range coupling¹³ as shown by 29 and 30. The difference of $J_{14,15}$ values of

the epimeric dienolides is also explained in relation to the rotational conformation of the isopropyl group around the C-14-C-15 axis. **26** and **1** would exist in energetically the most favorable conformer **29** (minimal nonbonded interaction of two methyls of the isopropyl with nearby atoms), where the dihedral angle of H-14 β /H-15 is 30-60° $(J_{148.15})$ ≈ 2.5 Hz). On the other hand, the corresponding dihedral angle for the most stable conformer **30,** of the isomeric pair 27 and 14 with β -isopropyl group, would be $160-180^\circ$ $(J_{14\alpha,15} \approx 8 \text{ Hz}).$

The $\Delta^{7(8),9(11)}$ -dienolide ester 26 was hydrolyzed to dienolide acid **28** in quantitative yield on treatment with concentrated sulfuric acid followed by quenching with ice. Attempts to hydrolyze 26 under basic SN_2 conditions,¹⁴ usually applicable to sterically hindered methyl esters, gave no successful results.

Allylic lactonization of **28** was achieved by oxidation with lead(1V) acetate in benzene under irradiation. The product was identical with natural nagilactone **F** by IR, 'H NMR, and melting point comparisons.⁴

Since nagilactone F **(1)** was successfully derived from nagilactone E (2),⁶ the most abundant natural dilactone, the following degradative works of **1** have played an important role on this synthesis. Catalytic hydrogenation of **1** with Pd/C under acidic conditions (HOAc/HC104) gave the $\Delta^{7(8),9(11)}$ -dienolide acid 28 in good yield with rapid incorporation of 1 mol of hydrogen. The acid **28** did not crystalize but gave, on treatment with diazomethane, the crystalline methyl ester **26,** which was characterized by elemental and spectral analyses. When the hydrogenation of **1** was prolonged until the second mole of hydrogen was absorbed, a mixture of the two unsaturated lactones **21** and **23** was obtained in an acceptable yield after esterification with diazomethane. So that this hydrogenation at the stage of the dienolide acid **28** could be stopped, alkaline conditions $(n-BuOH/NaHCO₃)$ were more satisfactory since hydrogen incorporation practically ceased after the consumption of 1 equiv. The structures of **21** and **23** were deduced unambiguously by 'H and 13C NMR analysis. The three compounds **21, 23,** and **26** were completely identical with those derived from podocarpic acid, including identical optical properties.

The structure and the absolute configuration of a type C dilactone $(7(8), 9(11)$ -dienolide) were established by this synthesis. Our next efforts are now directed to an idependent synthesis of more oxygenated type A (8(14),9- (11)-dienolides) or type B **(7a,8a-epoxy-9(11)-enolides)** dilactones, since no successful results have been obtained on the transformation of type C to the other two.⁶

Experimental Section

All melting points are uncorrected and were determined on a Yanagimoto Model MPJ-2 microapparatus. IR and UV spectra

were recorded on a JASCO Model A-102 and a Hitachi Model 323 spectrometer, respectively. NMR spectra were measured on a JEOL Model PS-100 (CW, 100 MHz) and a Model FX-100 (FT, 100 MHz) spectrometer. Mass and CD spectra were determined with a **JEOL** Model D-300 high-resolution mass spectrometer and a JASCO Model 5-20 automatic spectropolarimeter. Elemental analysis was performed with a Perkin-Elmer Model 240 automatic elemental analyzer. All organic solvents were purified by a standard procedure before use. Podocarpic acid was supplied by Aldrich Chemical Co. (Milwaukee, MN) and Koch-Light Laboratories (Colnbrook, Slough SL3 **OBZ,** England). Merck Kieselgel 60 (for column) and Kieselgel GF $_{254}$ Type 60 (for TLC) were used for chromatographic adsorbents.

Synthesis of Nagilactone F (1) from (45)-(+)-Podocarpic Acid (4). Preparation of $\Delta^{13,14}$ -Enone 7. Enone 7 was prepared from (4S)-(+)-podocarpic acid by a modified procedure of Spencer's method?

(a) Metal Reduction of 0-Methylpodocarpic Acid (5). To a solution of 0-methylpodwarpic acid (30 g, 0.104 mol), prepared from podocarpic acid $[(CH₃)₂SO₄/NaOH]$, in 150 mL of anhydrous tert-butyl alcohol was introduced 750 mL of liquid NH,. Lithium metal (7.2 g, 1.04 g-atom, 10 equiv) was added in small pieces under refluxing NH3. After disappearance of the blue color of lithium metal (3 h), the mixture was decomposed by adding solid NH4Cl (48 g) and worked up **as** usual manner. The crude product was treated with methanolic HC1 (250 mL of MeOH, 34 mL of concentrated HCl, 20 mL of H_2O) and then esterified with CH_2N_2 in ether. The product was fractionated on $SiO₂$ with petroleum ether/ether solvent system. $\Delta^{9,11}$ -Enone 6 was obtained in 40.6% average yield.

(b) Hydrogenation of $\Delta^{9,11}$ **-Enone 6.** Enone 6 (4.08 g, 14.1) mmol) was hydrogenated with 5% Pd/C (300 mg) in 45 mL of EtOAc at 25 °C for 10 h. A saturated 12-ketone (4.09 g, quantitative) was obtained after filtration and evaporation of the solvent. The product was homogeneous on TLC and used directly for the following reaction.

(c) 13-Selenylation and Oxidative Elimination. The saturated ketone (5.75 g, 20 mmol) was treated with 4.6 g (24 mmol, 1.2 equiv) of phenylselenenyl chloride in EtOAc (100 mL) at 25 "C for 1 h. After addition of brine, the mixture was extracted with ether. The crude product, on evaporation of the solvent, was dissolved in THF (100 mL) and treated with 9 mL of 30% HzOz (H202, 2.72 g, *80* mmol, 4 equiv) below 30 "C. After standing for 1 h at 25 "C, the mixture was diluted with water and worked up as usual. The product was chromatographed over silica gel (150 g) with hexane/ether (5:2) to give 4.81 g (82%) of $\Delta^{13,14}$ -enone 7 **as** crystals: mp 131 "C (lit? mp 130-131.5 "C); UV (EtOH) 232 nm; IR (CCl₄) 1722, 1684, 1163 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CCl₄) δ 0.71 (3 H, s, CH₃), 1.16 (3 H, s, CH₃), 3.60 (3 H, s, OCH₃), 5.76 (1 H, dd, *J* = 4.0, 10.0 Hz, H-14), 6.51 (1 H, dd, *J* = 2.0, 10.0 Hz, H-13).

Methyl 13-Carboxy-0-methylpodocarpate (8). Methyl 13-acetyl-O-methylpodocarpate¹⁵ (4.86 g, 14.1 mmol) was dissolved in dioxane (200 mL) and water (60 mL). To this mixture was added in 30 min at 5 "C a sodium hypobromite solution, prepared by adding 7.63 g (46.7 mmol) of bromine to a NaOH solution [NaOH (7.39 g, 185.7 mmol), HzO (63 mL), dioxane **(44** mL)] below 0 "C. The reaction mixture was kept at 5 **"C** for 3 h and quenched with Na_2SO_3 (1.75 g) in H₂O (18 mL). After acidification (HCl) and extraction (ether), the product 8 **was** obtained in quantitative yield, mp 168-169 "C.

Anal. Calcd for $C_{20}H_{26}O_5$: C, 69.34; H, 7.57. Found: C, 69.30; H, 7.65.

 14 -Isopropyl- $\Delta^{13,14}$ -enone 9. A solution of enone 7 (980 mg, 3.38 mmol) in THF (8 mL) was added at -78 "C to a stirred solution of $LiCu(i-Pr)_{2}$, prepared from 0.52 M *i*-PrLi in THF (19.5) mL, 10.14 mmol, 3 equiv), $(CH_3)_2S$ (629 mg, 10.14 mmol) in THF (10 mL), and **CUI** (964, mg, 5.07 mmol, **1.5 equiv,** at -78 "C **for** 10 min. The mixture was warmed to -30 °C in 1 h, and then PhSeCl (1.94 g, 10.14 mmol, 3 equiv) in THF (10 mL) was added at -78 °C in 10 min. After being quenched with aqueous NH₄Cl at 25 °C, the mixture was worked up as usual. The crude product was dissolved in THF (45 mL) and treated with 30% H₂O₂ (4 mL, $H₂O₂$, 1.19 g, 35 mmol). Dilution with water and extraction with

⁽¹³⁾ S. Sternhell, **Q.** *Rev.* (London), **23, 236 (1969). (14) J.** McMurry, "Organic **Reactions",** Vol. **24,** Wiley, **New York, 1976, p 187.**

⁽¹⁵⁾ W. P. Campbell and D. Todd, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* **62,1287,1292 (1940)**

ether gave a crude product, which was purified on silica gel (70 g) with petroleum ether/ether (5:2). 14-Isopropylenone 9 (843 mg, 75%) was obtained **as** crystals: mp 115 "C; UV (EtOH) 240 nm; IR (CCl₄) 1722, 1675, 1615 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CCl₄) δ 0.72 (3) Hz, CH₃), 1.18 (3 H, s, CH₃), 3.64 (3 H, s, OCH₃), 5.76 (1 H, br H, s, CH₃), 1.09 (3 H, d, $J = 6.0$ Hz, CH₃), 1.12 (3 H, d, $J = 6.0$ 9, H-13).

Anal. Calcd for $C_{21}H_{32}O_3$: C, 75.86; H, 9.70. Found: C, 75.72; H, 9.59.

Ozonolysis of Enone 9. A solution of **9** (298 mg, 0.90 mmol) in CH2C12 (3.5 mL) and MeOH (0.9 **mL)** was saturated with ozone at -78 "C (slight blue color). After removal of an excess of ozone by bubbling N_2 through for some time, the ozonide was decomposed by adding $Me₂S$ (0.2 mL, 170 mg, 3 equiv) at -78 °C. The solvent was evaporated at 25 °C, and the residue was oxidized with Jones' reagent at 0 °C in acetone. After decomposition of an excess of the oxidant with i-PrOH, the mixture was worked up as usual. Keto acid **11** was obtained as a homogeneous amorphous solid: 228 mg (72.2%); IR (CHCl₃) 3400, 2500, 1708, 1700 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.67 (3 H, s, CH₃), 1.08 (6 H, d, $J = 6.0$ Hz, CH₃), 1.17 (3 H, s, CH₃), 3.67 (3 H, s, OCH₃), ~2.7 $(1 H, m, CHMe₂)$; mass spectrum $(20 eV)$, M⁺ 352, M⁺ - H₂O 334, $M^+ - C_3H_7$ 309.

Acid 11 gave quantitatively a crystalline methyl ester $\rm (CH_2N_2)$ in ether): mp 103 °C; IR (CHCl₃) 1720, 1710 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR $(3 H, d, J = 7.0 Hz, CH₃), 1.18 (3 H, s, CH₃), 2.75 (1 H, m, J =$ 7.0 Hz, CHMe₂), 3.62 (3 H, s, OCH₃), 3.64 (3 H, s, OCH₃). (CDC13) 6 0.66 (3 H, *8,* CH3), 1.07 (3 H, d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, CH3), 1.08

Anal. Calcd for $C_{21}H_{34}O_5$: C, 68.82; H, 9.35. Found: C, 68.80; H, 9.43.

Enol Lactone 12. Keto acid **11** (80 mg, 0.227 mmol) was treated with a large excess of $(\text{CF}_3\text{CO})_2\text{O}$ at 25 °C for 3 h. The mixture was concentrated in vacuo and washed with aqueous $NaHCO₃$ as an ether solution. After purification by $SiO₂$ chromatography, enol lactone **12** was obtained as crystals: mp 174 °C; 65 mg (85.6%); IR (CHCl₃) 1735, 1715 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) s, CH₃), 2.90 (1 H, m, $J = 7.0$ Hz, CHMe₂), 3.63 (3 H, s, OCH₃); mass spectrum (20 eV), M⁺ 334, 289, 273, 229. δ 0.61 (3 H, s, CH₃), 1.06 (6 H, d, J = 7.0 Hz, CH₃), 1.20 (3 H,

Anal. Calcd for $C_{20}H_{30}O_4$: C, 71.82; H, 9.04. Found: C, 71.94; H, 9.03.

a-Pyrone 13. A solution of enol lactone **12** (34 mg, 0.10 mmol) in THF (0.1 mL) was added at -78 °C to a stirring solution of i -Pr₂NLi, prepared from i -Pr₂NH (30 mg, 0.275 mmol, 2.7 equiv), **1.5** M n-BuLi in hexane (165 **pL,** 0.25 mmol, 2.4 equiv), and THF $(0.5$ mL) at -78 °C. The mixture was then treated with a solution of PhSeCl (53 mg, 0.27 mmol, 2.7 equiv) in THF (0.5 mL) at -78 "C. After 30 min, the mixture was decomposed with aqueous NH₄Cl at 25 °C. The crude product was oxidized with 30% H_2O_2 (0.17 mL, 1.5 mmol). The product from this oxidation consisted of two components, which were separated on a $SiO₂$ column (10 g, petroleum/ether). The lower \overline{R}_f component was the desired pyrone **13** (18 mg (53%)): mp 160 "C; UV (EtOH) 317 nm; IR (CHCl₃) 1715, 1705, 1610, 1524 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.06 (3) Hz, CH₃), 1.29 (3 H, s, CH₃), 3.70 (3 H, s, OCH₃), 6.09 (1 H, s, H-11); mass spectrum (20 eV) , M⁺ 332, 304, 289, 278. The higher *R,* product was characterized **as** the 8,14-epoxide of enol lactone **12** (4 mg); mp 163-164 °C; IR (CHCl₃) 1737, 1715 cm⁻¹; ¹³C NMR H, s, CH₃), 1.18 (3 H, d, $J = 6.5$ Hz, CH₃), 1.22 (3 H, d, $J = 6.5$ $(CDCI₃)$ δ 12.9 (q, C-16), 15.9 (q, C-17), 17.9 (q, C-20), 19.3 (t, C-2), 23.3 (t, C-6),28.1 (t, C-l), 28.8 (9, C-18), 30.3 (t, C-3), 30.6 (d, C-15), 37.9 (t, C-7), 39.6 (t, C-11), 39.9 **(s,** C-lo), 44.0 (5, C-4), 48.0 (d, 169.1 **(s,** C-12), 177.1 *(8,* C-19). (2-51, **51.5** (q, OCH,), **55.0** (d, C-9), 65.2 (s, C-8), 93.1 (s, C-14),

Anal. Calcd for $C_{20}H_{30}O_5$: C, 68.54; H, 8.63. Found: C, 68.55; H, 8.67.

Diborane Reduction of the Methyl Ester of 11. A solution of the methyl ester (1.01 g, 2.73 mmol), prepared from **11** with $CH₂N₂$ in ether, in THF (20 mL) was treated with 1.1 M diborane in THF (27 mL, 30 mmol) at 0 "C for 1 h. The mixture was decomposed with $H₂O$ and worked up as usual. Fractionation of the crude product over SiO_2 (60 g) with petroleum ether/ether (3:l) gave two pure compounds, **15** and **16.** Lactone **15** (421 mg (46%) : mp 181-182 °C; IR (CHCl₃) 1712, 1683 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR $(3 H, d, J = 8.0 Hz, CH₃), 1.22 (3 H, s, CH₃), 2.24 (1 H, dd, J =$ $(CDCI₃)$ δ 0.70 (3 H, s, CH₃), 0.92 (3 H, d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, CH₃), 1.12 11.0, 17.0 Hz, H-11 β), 2.68 (1 H, dd, $J = 7.0$, 17.0 Hz H-11 α), 3.74 (3 H, s, OCH3), 3.88 (1 H, dd, *J* = 3.0, 8.0 Hz, H-14).

Anal. Calcd for $C_{20}H_{32}O_4$: C, 71.39; H, 9.59. Found, C, 71.14; H, 9.53.

Hydroxy ester **16** was obtained as an amorphous solid (410 mg (40%)): IR (CHCl₃) 3400, 1712 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.64 3.71 (3 H, s, OCH₃), 3.76 (3 H, s, OCH₃). On refluxing (2 h) in benzene (3 **mL)** with TsOH (3 mg), hydroxy ester 16 (30 mg) was converted to lactone **17** (27 mg (95%)), isomeric to **15;** mp 117 °C; IR (CHCl₃) 1719, 1711 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.68 (3 H, 2.61 (1 H, dd, $J = 7.0$, 18.0 Hz, H-11 α), 3.72 (3 H, s, OCH₃), 4.23 $(3 H, s, CH₃), 0.80 (3 H, d, J = 7.0 Hz, CH₃), 1.04 (3 H, d, J =$ 7.0 Hz, CH3), 1.21 (3 H, 9, CH3), 2.98 (1 H, d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, H-14), 9, CH3), 1.02 (3 H, d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, CH3), 1.09 (3 H, d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, CH_3 , 1.23 (3 H, s, CH₃), 2.27 (1 H, dd, $J = 10.0, 18.0$ Hz, H-11 β), $(1 H, dd, J = 5.0, 6.0 Hz, H-14).$

Anal. Calcd for $C_{20}H_{34}O_4$: C, 71.39; H, 9.59. Found: C, 71.00; H, 9.42.

14 β -Isopropyl- $\Delta^{9,11}$ -enolide 18. The 14 β -Isopropylenolide 18 was obtained from the corresponding saturated lactone **15** (16 mg) by the same procedure **as** described for the dehydrogenation of 12 to the pyrone 13 $(i\text{-}Pr_2NLi/PhSeCl$, then H_2O_2); 12 mg (75%); mp 126-8 °C; UV (EtOH) 223 nm; IR (CHCl₃) 1710, 1693 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.96 (3 H, s, CH₃), 0.98 (3 H, d, $J = 7.0$ (3 H, s, OCH3), 3.93 (1 H, dd, *J* = 3.0, 10.0 Hz, H-14), 5.92 (1 H, d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, H-11); mass spectrum (20 eV), M⁺ 334.2142 (calcd for C₂₀H₃₀O₄, 334.2143), M⁺ – CH₃ 319.1900 (calcd for C₁₉H₂₇O₄, 319.1909), \dot{M}^+ – CH₃O 303.1937 (calcd for C₁₉H₂₇O₃, 303.1960), M^+ – C₃H₇ 291.1562 (calcd for C₁₇H₂₃O₄, 291.1596). Hz, CH₃), 1.12 (3 H, d, $J = 7.0$ Hz, CH₃), 1.23 (3 H, s, CH₃), 3.74

 14α Isopropyl- $\Delta^{9,11}$ -enolide 19. 14α -Isopropyllactone 17 (215 mg) was converted to enolide **19** by the same procedure as described above. The product, **19,** 140 mg (93%, on subtraction of 63 mg of **17** recovered) was obtained as an amorphous solid: UV (EtOH) 232 nm; IR (CHCl₃) 1718, 1698, 1612 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.98 (3 H, d, $J = 7.0$ Hz, CH₃), 1.04 (3 H, s, CH₃), 1.15 $(3 H d, J = 7.0 Hz, CH₃), 1.20 (3 H, s, CH₃), 3.77 (3 H, s, OCH₃),$ 3.87 (1 H, dd, *J* = 3.5, 10.0 Hz, H-14), 5.85 (1 H, s, H-11); mass spectrum (20 eV), M^+ 334.2133 (calcd for $C_{20}H_{30}O_4$, 334.2143), $\rm M^+$ – C₃H₇ 291.1582 (calcd for C₁₇H₂₃O₄, 291.1596), $\rm M^+$ – CO₂CH₃ 275.2000 (calcd for $C_{18}H_{27}O_2$, 275.2010).

Dienoic Acid 20. (a) From 14α **-Isopropyl-** $\Delta^{9,11}$ **-enolide 18.** $\Delta^{9,11}$ -Enolide 18 (100 mg, 0.3 mmol) was dissolved in anhydrous Me2S0 **(5** mL) and treated with 100 mg (3 equiv) of t-BuOK (purified by sublimation) at 25 °C for 12 h under N₂. After acidification with HCl, the product was taken up into $CHCl₃$ and purified by TLC. Dienoic acid **20:** 100 mg (quantitative); mp 145 °C; IR (CHCl₃) 3400-2500, 1715, 1697, 1623 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR $(3 H, d, J = 7.0 Hz, CH₃), 1.20 (3 H, s, CH₃), 3.65 (3 H, s, OCH₃),$ 5.00 (1 H, d, $J = 9.5$ Hz, H-14), 5.48 (1 H, s, H-11); ¹³C NMR $(CDCI₃)$ δ 0.81 (3 H, s, CH₃), 0.92 (3 H, d, $J = 7.0$ Hz, CH₃), 0.97 (CDCl3) 6 18.5 (4, C-20), 20.0 (t, C-2), 21.9 (9, C-16), 23.3 (9, C-17), 24.5 (t, C-6), 27.1 (d, C-15), 29.0 (9, C-la), 30.0 (t, C-l), 37.3 (t, (d, C-5), 111.1 (d, C-11), 133.6 (9, C-8), 135.2 (d, C-14), 170.4 **(s,** C-3), 38.2 (t, C-7), 42.5 (s, C-10), 44.8 (s, C-4), 51.5 (q, OCH₃), 54.8 C-9), 173.4 (s, C-12), 177.4 (s, C-19); mass spectrum (10 eV), \dot{M}^+ 334.2150 (calcd for $C_{20}H_{30}O_4$ 334.2144), $M^+ - H$ 333.2089 (calcd for C₂₀H₂₉O₄, 333.2064); \tilde{M}^+ - C₃H₇ 291.1590 (calcd for C₁₇H₂₃O₄; 291.1596), $\dot{M}^+ - C_3H_7 - C_2H_4O_2$ 231.1385 (calcd for $C_{15}H_{19}O_2$) 231.1385); $[\alpha]^{14}$ _D - 86.8° (1.35 mg/mL, MeOH); CD (MeOH)

Anal. Calcd for CmHm04: C, 71.82; H, 9.04. Found C, 71.99; **[@1244(max)** +4800, **[81272(min)** -1800. H, 9.14.

(b) From 14α **-Isopropyl-** $\Delta^{9,11}$ **-enolide 19. Treatment of en**olide **19** with 3 equiv of t-BuOK **as** described above gave the same dienoic acid, **20,** quantitatively as crystals.

(c) From an Isomeric 14α -Isopropyl- $\Delta^{9,11}$ -enolide 23 De**rived from Nagilactone F** (1). Enolide **23** (50 mg, 0.15 mmol) was treated with t -BuOK (50 mg, 0.45 mmol, 3 equiv) in Me₂SO (3 mL) at 55 "C for 1.5 h. After working up as described above, a dienoic acid, **50** mg (quantitative), was obtained **as** crystals. This parison. The optical properties, $[\alpha]^{14}$ _D -87.2° (9.05 mg/mL, MeOH) and CD (MeOH) $[\theta]_{244(max)} + 3850$, $[\theta]_{272(min)} - 3050$, of this product were also consistent with those of acid **20** derived from $(4S)-(+)$ -podocarpic acid as described in a.

14α-Isopropyl-Δ^{8,9}-enolide 21. (a) By Photochemical Cy**clization of Dienoic Acid** 20. A solution of 20 (10 mg) in 95% EtOH (4 mL) was irradiated with a medium-pressure mercury lamp at 0° C for 4 h under N₂. After evaporation of the solvent, the residue was purified over $SiO₂$ column (12:1:0.1 benzene/ EtOAc/EtOH) to afford $\Delta^{8,9}$ -enolide 21, 9 mg (90%): mp 97 °C; $[\alpha]_D^{18} +121.7^\circ$ (2.6 mg/mL, MeOH). All of the spectral data of this product were identical with those of the $\Delta^{8,9}$ -enolide derived from nagilactone F as described below.

(b) By Thermal Cyclization of Dienoic Acid 20. When dienoic acid 20 (10 mg) was pyrolyzed at 210 "C for 1 min under N2, a mixture of two enolides was produced **as** an amorphous solid in quantitative yield. Separation of the components was not successful, but 'H and *'3c* NMR spectra indicate it to be a mixture of two enolides in 5:3 ratio. Each skeletal carbon showed a close couple of signals in the 13C NMR spectrum, in which one set of the signals due to the minor component was superimposable to the spectrum of the pure enolide 21 derived from nagilactone F. The major component is an isomer, 22, at C-14, showing the following spectral data: IR (CHCl₃) 1718 cm^{-1} ; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) d, $J = 7.0$ Hz, CH₃), 1.24 (3 H, s, CH₃), 2.93 (2 H, br s, H-11), 3.67 (3 H, s, OCH₃), 4.53 (1 H, br s); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 15.4, 16.7, 18.0, 19.4, 19.7, 20.3, 28.1, 28.4 (two peaks), 32.7, 36.3, 43.9, 51.4, 52.5, 86.5, 126.3, 133.6, 171.3, 177.6. δ 0.83 (3 H, d, J = 7.0 Hz, CH₃), 0.85 (3 H, s, CH₃), 1.12 (3 H,

 $\Delta^{7(8),9(11)}$ -Dienolide Ester 26 by Dehydrogenation of Δ^{8,9}-**Enolide Ester 21.** A mixture of $\Delta^{8,9}$ -enolide 21 (16 mg, 0.048) mmol), dichlorodicyano-p-benzoquinone (DDQ) (35 mg, 0.154 mmol, 3.2 equiv), and BF₃ etherate (100 μ L) in 8 mL of dioxane was refluxed for 48 h under N_2 . The mixture was concentrated under vacuum and the residue purified by TLC (1O:l benzene/ EtOAc) to give dienolide ester 26, 6 mg (38%), mp 141 °C. The properties of this product were fully identical with those of compound 26 derived from natural nagilactone F as described below.

A7(8)~9(11)-Dienolide 27, **Isomeric to** 26 **at** (2-14. A mixture of two epimeric $(C-14)$ enolides, 21 and 22 $(8 \text{ mg}, 0.024 \text{ mmol})$, produced by pyrolysis of dienoic acid 20 **as** described above, was dissolved in CHC1, (1 **mL)** and treated with purified NBS (11 mg, 0.062 mmol, 2.6 equiv) under refluxing for 30 min. After filtration and evaporation of the solvent, the residue was purified by TLC (1:l petroleum ether/ether) to give 5 mg (42%) of a single bromide: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 3.66 (3 H, s, OCH₃), 4.76 (1 H, s, H-11), 4.94 (2 H, m, H-7, H-14). This bromide was mixed with 300 mg of **Zn** powder (activated with 2 N HC1) and 2 **mL** of **DMF'** and stirred at 25 °C for 10 min under N₂. The reaction mixture was directly poured onto a $SiO₂$ (10 g) column and developed with petroleum ether/ether (1:l). Dienolide 27 (2 mg, 61%): UV (EtOH) 281 nm; IR (CHCl₃) 1710, 1690 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.88 (3 H, d, *J* = 6.5 Hz, CH,), 0.93 (3 H, s, CH,), 1.00 (3 H, d, *J* = 6.5 Hz, CH₃), 1.26 (3 H, s, CH₃), 3.69 (3 H, s, OCH₃), 4.48 (1 H, d, $J =$ 8.0 Hz, H-14), 5.67 (1 H, s, H-11), 5.97 (1 H, m, H-7); mass spectrum (30 eV), M^+ 332.1951 (calcd for $C_{20}H_{28}O_4$, 332.1987), \dot{M} ⁺ – OCH₃ – H 300.1768 (calcd for C₁₉H₂₄O₃, 300.1725), M⁺ - $C_3H_7 + H_290.1507$ (calcd for $C_{17}H_{22}O_4$, 290.1518), M⁺ - C_3H_7 289.1436 (calcd for C₁₇H₂₁O₄, 289.1439), M⁺ - CO₂CH₃ 273.1884 (calcd for $C_{18}H_{25}O_2$, 273.1855).

Hydrolysis of A7(s),gc11)-Dienolide Ester 26. Dienolide ester 26 (40 mg, 0.12 mmol) was dissolved in 0.3 mL of concentrated H_2SO_4 at 25 °C. After standing at 25 °C for 2 h, the mixture was decomposed with ice. A precipatated white solid was collected by filtration, giving 38 mg (quantitative) of dienolide carboxylic acid 28. All of the properties of this product was identical with those of acid 28 derived from natural nagilactone F as described below.

Lead(IV) Acetate Oxidation of $\Delta^{7(8),9(11)}$ -Dienolide Acid 28. **A** mixture **of 28** (20 mg, 0.063 mmol) and lead(1V) acetate (50 mg, 0.113 mmol, 1.8 equiv) in dry benzene (5 mL) was stirred at 15 $^{\rm o}{\rm C}$ for 3 days under irradiation with a 15-W-fluorescent lamp. The mixture was directly developed on a $SiO₂$ column and eluted with CH₂Cl₂ to give 11 mg (55%) of the product, mp 225 °C, which was not distinguishable from natural nagilactone F in all respects.⁴

Hydrogenative Degradation of Nagilactone F (1). $\Delta^{7(8),9(11)}$ -Dienolide Ester 26. (a) Nagilactone F (100 mg) was hydrogenated under 1 atm in HOAc (40 mL) containing 20% HClO_4 with 10% Pd/C (20 mg) at 25 °C. Hydrogenation was stopped after 20 min when 1 equiv of hydrogen was incorporated. The reaction mixture was worked up **as** usual to give 85 mg (85%) of $\Delta^{7(8),9(11)}$ -dienolide acid 28 as a colorless, amorphous solid: UV (EtOH) 284 nm; IR (CHCl₃) 1692 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.98 7.0 Hz, CH,), 1.33 (3 H, s, CH,), 4.75 (1 H, m, H-14), 5.77 (1 H, s, H-ll), 6.13 (1 H, m, H-7). The acid was characterized as the methyl ester 26, prepared with CH_2N_2 in ether; mp 141 °C; UV (EtOH) 283 nm; IR (CHCl₃) 1710, 1690 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) d, $J = 7.0$ Hz, CH₃), 1.26 (3 H, s, CH₃), 3.73 (3 H, s, OCH₃), 4.70 (1 H, m, H-14), 5.72 (1 H, br s, H-11), 6.09 (1 H, m, H-7); 13C NMR C-1), 38.1 (s, C-10), 44.0 (s, C-4), 49.6 (d, C-5), 51.7 (q, OCH₃), (s, C-9), 165.7 (s, C-12), 177.1 (s, C-19); mass spectrum (30 eV), M⁺ 332.1945 (calcd for $C_{20}H_{28}O_4$, 332.1987), M⁺ - C_3H_6 290.1560 (calcd for $C_{17}H_{22}O_4$, 290.1518), $M^+ - C_3H_7$ 289.1413 (calcd for $C_{17}H_{21}O_4$, 289.1440). $(3 \text{ H}, \text{ d}, J = 7.0 \text{ Hz}, \text{ CH}_3), 1.03 \text{ (3 H, s, CH}_3), 1.05 \text{ (3 H, d, } J =$ δ 0.95 (3 H, s, CH₃), 0.98 (3 H, d, $J = 7.0$ Hz, CH₃), 1.04 (3 H, (CDCl3) 6 16.7 (4, C-l6), 19.6 (t and q, C-2 and C-17), 20.1 (4, C-20), 25.1 (t, C-6), 28.5 (9, C-18), 33.7 (d, C-15), 36.6 (t, C-3), 37.5 (t, 84.3 (d, C-14), 110.1 (d, C-11), 127.7 **(s,** C-8), 132.3 (d, C-7), 162.4

Anal. Calcd for $C_{20}H_{28}O_4$: C, 72.27; H, 8.49. Found: C, 71.96; H, 8.54.

(b) Nagilactone F (200 mg) was hydrogenated with 10% Pd/C (40 mg) in n-BuOH (30 mL) and 5% NaHCO₃ (30 mL) under 1 atm at 25 "C. The reaction proceeded smoothly with absorption of 1 equiv of H_2 and then stopped. After filtration of the catalyst and acidification with 2 N HC1, extraction with EtOAc gave 200 mg of the product. The crude material was purified by preparative TLC (SiO₂) to give dienolide acid 28 (170 mg, 85%), which was characterized as the crystalline methyl ester 26 (CH_2N_2) as described above.

 $\Delta^{8,9}$ -Enolide Ester 21 and $\Delta^{9,11}$ -Enolide Ester 23. When hydrogenation of 1 under the acidic conditions (HOAc/HC104) was continued until 2 equiv of H_2 was consumed, a mixture of two compounds was produced. The crude product was esterified with CH_2N_2 and chromatographed on a SiO_2 (100 g) column with benzene/EtOAc/EtOH (15:l:O.l) to afford two pure components, 21 (320 mg, 61%) and 23 (75 mg, 14%). 21: mp 97 "C; IR (CHCl,) 1719 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.84 (3 H, d, J = 7.0 Hz, CH₃), 0.85 2.95 (2 H, br s, H-11), 3.67 (3 H, s, OCH₃), 4.53 (1 H, m, H-14); $(3 H, s, CH_3), 1.12 (3 H, d, J = 7.0 Hz, CH_3), 1.25 (3 H, s, CH_3),$ ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 15.0 (q, C-16), 16.7 (q, C-17), 19.3 (t, C-2), 19.6 (9, C-20), 20.2 (t, C-6), 28.4 (4, C-18), 29.1 (d, C-15), 29.4 (t, C-7), 32.4 (t, C-1), 36.6 (t, C-3), 37.6 (t, C-11), 37.8 **(s,** C-lo), 43.8 133.8 (s, C-9), 171.0 (s, C-12), 177.5 (s, C-19); $[\alpha]_D^{18}$ +121.6° (2.5) $(s, C-4)$, 51.4 $(q, OCH₃)$, 53.3 $(d, C-5)$, 88.2 $(d, C-14)$, 125.6 $(s, C-8)$, mg/mL, MeOH); mass spectrum (20 eV) , M⁺ 334.2119 (calcd for $C_{20}H_{30}O_4$, 334.2143), M^{\ddagger} – C_3H_7 291.1557 (calcd for $C_{17}H_{23}O_4$, 291.1596), M⁺ – CH₃O – CH₃ + H 289.1800 (calcd for C₁₈H₂₅O₃, 289.1803). 23: mp 155 °C; UV (EtOH) 230 nm; IR (CHCl₃) 1717 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.98 (3 H, d, J = 7.0 Hz, CH₃), 1.04 (3 $(3 \text{ H}, \text{s}, \text{OCH}_3)$, 3.81 (1 H, dd, $J = 2.5$, 11.0 Hz, H-14), 5.84 (1 H, H, s, CH₃), 1.12 (3 H, d, $J = 7.0$ Hz, CH₃), 1.23 (3 H, s, CH₃), 3.66 d, $J = 2.5$ Hz H-11); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 15.0 (q, C-16), 19.2 (t, C-2), 19.8 (4, C-17), 19.8 (9, C-20), 22.0 (t, C-6), 28.6 (9, C-l8), 28.6 (d, C-15), 30.8 (t, C-7), 36.3 (t, C-1), 36.5 (d, C-8), 37.5 (t, C-3), C-14), 111.3 (d, C-11), 166.2 **(s,** C-9), 171.1 **(s,** C-12), 177.0 **(s,** C-19). 40.5 (s, C-IO), 44.2 (s, C-4), 51.4 (q, OCH,), 53.3 (d, C-5), 85.8 (d, Anal. Calcd for $C_{20}H_{30}O_4$: C, 71.82; H, 9.04. Found: C, 71.77, H. 9.12.

Registry No. 1, 36912-00-2; **4,** 5947-49-9; **5,** 10037-26-0; **6,** 24402-17-3; **dihydro-6,** 19954-86-0; **7,** 24402-16-2; **8,** 82246-91-1; **9,** 73616-45-2; **10,** 73723-71-4; **11,** 73616-47-4; **11** methyl ester, 73616- 50-9; **12,** 73616-48-5; **13,** 73616-49-6; **15,** 73616-52-1; **16,** 82246-92-2; **17,** 73650-96-1; **18,** 73616-53-2; **19,** 73650-97-2; **20,** 73746-23-3; **21,** 73616-55-4; **22,** 73746-24-4; **23,** 73650-98-3; **26,** 73616-56-5; **27,** 73746-25-5; **28,** 73616-57-6.